

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/654,339	09/08/2000	Mark E. Ogram	1475B.5A.5	8051
7590 06/09/2005			EXAMINER	
Mark E Ogram			RUHL, DENNIS WILLIAM	
7454 E. Broadw suite 203	vay		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tucson, AZ 8	5710		3629	
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/654,339	OGRAM, MARK E.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dennis Ruhl	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No sy statute, cause the application to become	thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 04 August 2003.						
_	_						
3) Since this application is in condition for a							
closed in accordance with the practice u	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the appli	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	uments have been received. uments have been received in ne priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action fo Attachment(s)	r a list or the certified copies n	ot received.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3629

Applicant's response of 8/4/03 has been entered. Currently claims 1-13 are pending. The instant examiner has reviewed the prosecution history to date and notes the previous rejections of record and arguments presented by applicant.

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 2

- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment of 5/23/03 added the limitation of "without human intervention" to claim 1. The specification as originally filed did not disclose that no human intervention occurs in the method. In fact the examiner finds it impossible for there to be no human intervention involved because the customer is using a computer to search for a product to purchase and the customer (a human) is the one that causes account data to be sent and processed by the financial computer. A human is required and the added limitation of "without human intervention" is deemed to be new matter.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 3629

The specification does not provide an adequate written description so that one of skill in the art could make and use the invention as claimed where the invention is practiced with no human intervention. The examiner feels this way because it is the customer who initiates the sending of data to affect the purchase of the product. One of skill in the art would have to undergo undue experimentation to figure out how the practice the invention with no human intervention.

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Payne et al. (5715314).

For claims 1,6,11, Payne discloses a method of operating a computer on a network as claimed. The network is 10 and is disclosed as being the Internet. Payne discloses that financial computer 16 receives customer account data. See column 6, lines 31-42, where it is disclosed that the customer clicks on the continue button and the user is prompted for an account name and a password. Once the user does this, the data is sent to the financial computer and the financial computer automatically receives the data. The amount data is sent from the merchant computer as disclosed in column

Art Unit: 3629

5, lines 48-56. The merchant computer creates a payment URL that contains a payment amount. The payment URL is received by the financial computer as claimed. Authorization indicia is created by the financial computer. The authorization indicia is the "access URL" disclosed by Payne in step 80.

For claims 2-4,7-9,12,13, the claimed password is considered to be the "access URL authenticator" that is sent to the customer computer. The URL authenticator is considered as a password because it is used to verify or authenticate the transaction.

For claims 5,10, the step of receiving "acceptance indicia" is considered to be satisfied by the disclosure of the financial computer receiving data such as product identifier, or network address or other data. The term "acceptance indicia" is a broad term (really just means receipt of indicia) and is this claim is just claiming the act of receiving data, which is found in Payne.

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3629

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis Ruhl whose telephone number is 571-272-6808. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DENNIS HUHL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5